1

070908a

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	PUBLIC HEARING and COMMENT PERIOD CONCERNING EPA'S PROPOSAL OF A DRAFT OPERATING
8	PERMIT FOR VEOLIA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
9	
10	HELD ON: July 8, 2008
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER: Sara E. Tipton, CSR ILLINOIS NO: 084-003397
16	TELETIOLE NOT GO T GOSSO.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	RIVER BEND REPORTING CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS
22	P.O. BOX 577 GODFREY, IL 62035
23	618-466-8558
24	
25	

We're going to get ready to start MS. POPE: the public hearing. Hello again, everybody. My name is Janet Pope, and I'm a Community Involvement Coordinator for the US Environmental Protection Agency. Tonight I will be serving as your hearing officer for tonight's public hearing. We have two other people from the US Environmental Protection Agency here tonight. We have Genevieve Damico, who is an Environmental Engineer with the US EPA. We also have Charlie Hall, who is an environmental engineer also. Everybody is with the US EPA, and we're out of Chicago, Illinois.

We are here tonight to share information, to listen to your questions and comments regarding EPA's proposal of a draft-operating permit for the Veolia Environmental Services located in Sauget, Illinois.

Tonight's hearing will have three parts. The first part Genevieve Damico will provide an overview of the draft permit. Second, we will take your comments for the record, public comments for the record; and, third, if time allows, we will have an informal question-and-answer period.

Now, when you came in, there was a sign-in sheet in the back. I hope everybody signed in. What that does is revises our mailing list, and if there's any information coming up regarding the site, you'll get the information.

3

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

2

- 1 So sign in if you want to get information regarding the site.
- With the exception of the informal 3
- question-and-answer period, everything will go on record. 4 Page 2

We have a court reporter to my right. She'll be recording this meeting in its entirety with the exception of the informal question-and-answer period.

All persons wishing to speak tonight, when you signed in, I hope you checked the Do You Plan to Make Comments, there is a yes-or-no box. If you want to make formal comments, check the yes box. Okay. And then we did have people call in previously and sign in and make an appointment, too, so those people will be called first, and the people that signed in today, you'll be filled in in the slots that we have vacant.

Okay. Now, Genevieve I'll have her come up, and she'll give an overview on the draft-operating permit.

MS. DAMICO: Good afternoon, everybody. A quick overview of the action that we're talking today. The Veolia Environmental Technical Services from Sauget, Illinois, is a treatment, storage and disposal facility, which accepts offsite waste for further disposal through incineration. Containers and bulk shipments of hazardous and solid wastes are received, analyzed and transferred to temporary storage facilities, processed and

 incinerated in one of three combustion units.

Veolia is located in an area that is attaining all of the national ambient air-quality standards except for both the eight-hour ozone and particulate matter less than 2.5 micrograms standard.

Veolia requires a Title V permit because it is a major source of hazardous air-pollutant emissions subject

to requirements under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act for boilers and hazardous waste combustors.

Title V of the Clean Air Act requires, in part, that all major sources subject to standards under Section 112 operate in accordance with a permit. Most Title V operating permits are issued by state or local permitting authorities. EPA is issuing this permit because in response to a petition from the Sierra Club and the American Bottom Conservancy, EPA objected to the permit proposed to be issued by the Illinois EPA.

Under Title V, when EPA objects to the proposed permit, the state permitting authority has the opportunity to address EPA's concerns, but if EPA's concerns are not addressed in a timely fashion, then EPA becomes responsible for issuing or denying the permit. In this case, Illinois EPA did not respond to EPA's concerns in a timely manner, and on September 29th, 2006, EPA announced its intent to issue or deny Veolia a

federal Title V permit. Veolia submitted its application to EPA on May 2nd, 2007. EPA deemed the application administratively complete on June 13th, 2007.

Pursuant to a proposed settlement agreement between EPA and the Sierra Club and the American Bottom Conservancy, EPA intends to complete the public comment process by July 18th, 2008, and issue or deny a final permit by September 12th, 2008.

Concurrently with this permitting process, EPA has alleged that Veolia is in noncompliance with the Clean Air Act. Nothing in this permit will shield Veolia from Page 4

any enforcement action related to past or ongoing violations that we have alleged.

EPA has included a compliance schedule in the draft permit that requires Veolia to test all three combustors, to develop operating parameter limits for emissions of mercury, low-volatile metals and semi-volatile metals as required by the hazardous waste combustion MACT. The draft permit requires that Veolia submit the results of this testing and revised operating parameter limits by September 26, 2008.

If Veolia finds -- or I'm sorry -- if EPA finds the revised operating parameter limits acceptable, EPA will reopen the permit to propose incorporating the operating parameter limits developed from the required performance

test into the final permit and solicit public comment on the incorporation of the operating parameter limits into the permit. In addition, the permit may be reopened at a future time to incorporate additional applicable requirements or compliance schedules.

MS. POPE: EPA's public participation regulations require EPA to publish a public notice in the local newspaper. The public notice appeared in the East St. Louis Monitor and the Belleville News Democrat, both published on June 5th. In addition, information was mailed to those who attended Illinois EPA public hearing for Veolia and the hearing for Veolia's neighbor, solutia.

13 Solutia.

Now, we'll begin our public comment period. I will

call the speakers in the order -- not on the sign-up sheet but on the sign-up sheet mixed in with the calls that we already had. When your name is called, please state your name; then spell your last name for the court reporter. If you have written comments but do not wish to speak tonight, please give them to me before you leave tonight. So that everyone who wishes to speak has a chance to do so, limit your comments to five minutes. If you cannot finish, I will ask you to please conclude. Αt five minutes I will ask you to please conclude your comments. At that time you will wrap up in the next

7

1 Okay. Five minutes I'll ask you to conclude. You have a minute to finish. Okay. At the six-minute interval I will ask you to render the floor to the next

speaker. Okay. All right. After everyone has gotten a

chance to speak, you may return and finish your comments, 5

6 or you can submit your remaining comments on paper.

Now, we will begin our public comment period. 7

8 Remember, if you have written comments, give them to me

9 before you leave today. If not, we'll start with our

first speaker, and I have a cordless here so I can run 10

around and get you. Livi Isringhausen. 11

12 MS. ISRINGHAUSEN: Good afternoon. I'm Livi

13 Isringhausen, I-S-R-I-N-G-H-A-U-S-E-N. I'm the

Environmental Compliance Manager at Washington University 14

in St. Louis School of Medicine. Washington University 15

16 School of Medicine is rated as one of the top five

17 medical schools in the nation by US News and World

> Report. We have a hundred and sixteen year history of Page 6

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

research and education. One of the best things that comes out of the university is our research. We receive grants and contracts in excess of 460 million dollars in research effort for medicine alone.

Some notable things that have come out of our research are that we have done extensive research and development in looking for a cure for Alzheimer's

disease. We helped pioneer the use of insulin in the treatment of diabetes. We proposed the practice of taking aspirin to prevent heart attacks. We developed a cure for Hepatitis B, if it was diagnosed early. We found a surgical cure for arterial fibrillation and helped pioneer cochlear implant surgery.

As a result of all this research, there are people like myself that work behind the scenes. My department's responsibilities include the disposal of the byproducts of this research. Washington University has chosen to partner with Veolia Environmental Technical Services to accomplish the proper and safe disposal of these byproducts.

Veolia provides us outstanding service in disposing of our common materials and anything unusual that may be a result of the research. Their knowledge and expertise is invaluable when you come across the many array of byproducts that we generate at the university. Having Veolia's incineration complex located here in the St. Louis/Metro area allows for us, many other industries and institutions to reduce our carbon footprint by

22 minimizing fuel for transport. 23 In conclusion, Veolia has become an integral part of Washington University School of Medicine's research by 24 providing us many services. 25 9 1 MS. POPE: Next speaker, Dee Reed. 2 speaker I'm going to say Dee Reed is not in attendance 3 right now. Put that on the record. George R. Schillinger. 4 5 MR. SCHILLINGER: My name is George 6 Schillinger, S-C-H-I-L-I-N-G-E-R. I'm the Executive 7 Director of the American Bottoms Treatment Plant in 8 Sauget, Illinois. American Bottoms is -- we operate two 9 plants, physical chemical waste water plant owned by the 10 Village of Sauget and the regional plant, the American 11 Bottoms plant, which services: East St. Louis, Cahokia, 12 Alorton, Centreville and a little part of Stookey 13 Township, hence, the Village of Sauget. American Bottoms is immediately east of the Veolia 14 15 site and just west of the center ethanol site on Highway 16 3. You've probably seen it by now. A little bit on my background. I have a master of 17 science degree in environmental sanitation engineering. 18 19 I've done additional course work recently working towards 20 a doctorate. Don't have. I teach the capsule and design engineering class for the environmental engineering 21 22 program for the students at Washington University. Since 23 college, I've worked exclusively in the environmental

field. I'm a registered professional engineer in

Illinois. I'm a reserve officer in the US Public Health

Page 8

070908a

3

24

service with the equivalent rank of a Navy commander.

I'm a board certified environmental engineer.

Regarding Veolia, as I said, they are our next-door neighbor. I've toured their facilities on several occasions and daily have an opportunity to observe the operation of this plant. It's always neat, clean, well-maintained, odor free, and there are no visible signs of air emissions. The facility is secure.

From the water standpoint or waste-water standpoint, which we enforce on, they are in perfect compliance with our pretreatment program over the years. My compliance staff works with Veolia staff and consider them well-qualified, very qualified and committed to operating in compliance with all environmental regulations and statutes.

Veolia is also an active participant in the community. I'm aware that they provide educational tours to local school children and between a -- jointly with American Bottoms and Veolia, we participate in providing educational programs to the interns in the Illinois Governor's Environmental Corps. This has been a very successful program to try to train and to encourage college students to enter this field.

As a knowledgeable and professional environmental engineer, I'm aware of the nature of the work at Veolia

and their facilities and the procedures for controlling air emissions and the necessary nature of this work. I am personally quite comfortable occupying an office within five hundred feet of their facilities and have done so for the past twenty-three years.

As the executive director of the American Bottoms, I believe our employees and contractors are not exposed to any risk due to the Veolia's operation. I'd like to point out that about fifty percent of the American Bottoms customers, which is in the East St. Louis, Alorton, Centreville, Cahokia area are below -- have income levels below the poverty line. You know, many people would argue that this is an environmental trust decision and -- and it is. And it's this way, these are necessary services. In the past three years, American Bottoms has had to raise its rates to all of our customers, including these impov -- and those people below the poverty line, from \$1.74 per thousand gallons of water discharge to \$4.95, and that's come about because of the loss of two major industries, Elementis in East St. Louis that shut down and went to China and Big River Zinc in Sauget. And that's just a substantial burden --

24 MS. POPE: Conclude.

25 MR. SCHILLINGER: I have one more minute.

3

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

1

2

3

4

- That's transferred to the people who live in this community.
- I know I'll finish up with saying Veolia has been an excellent neighbor, and I have no fear of working right
 Page 10

next door to it. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Next speaker, Craig Doolittle.

MR. DOOLITTLE: Good afternoon. My name is

Craig Doolittle, D-O-O-L-I-T-T-L-E, and I have been asked

to speak today on behalf of the Veolia ES Technical

Services in Sauget in regard to the issuance of their

Title V permit.

First my background. I am a licensed professional engineer with over thirty years of experience in the environmental field. I have worked in the hazardous-waste areas since 1980 when the EPA first promulgated the federal hazardous waste regulations under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

In 1983, I started working in the hazardous-waste combustion area. First as a permit engineer for a large rotary kiln combustion facility in region five and then as the operations manager for that facility where I was responsible for day-to-day operations and compliance.

In the early '90's I took a national-level position working with EPA and other stakeholders on the development of more recent combustion regulations under

 the Clean Air Act, the hazardous waste combustion MACT regs, and for the last ten years I worked for ENSR, E-N-S-R, an environmental engineering and consulting firm where I have designed, written and managed dozens of performance tests under what is known as the Hazardous Waste Combustion MACT Regulations and assisted clients comply with the other provisions of those regulations as

8 well.

By way of background for ENSR, ENSR is a 2000 employee global environmental health and safety engineering firm with an air-quality practice here in the US with over a hundred full-time air professionals. I currently manage ENSR's air source testing department and their combustion services practice. In addition to stack testing, ENSR provides: Permitting, auditing, dispersion modeling, risk assessment and process engineering services to clients with combustion facilities nationwide. Over the years, we have worked at a number of facilities here at EPA Region V, and we continue to do so today.

Since 2001, I have helped design and manage ten different performance test programs to assist Veolia with their efforts to develop sound compliance and operational strategies to comply with the HWC MACT regulations. In addition, our source testing department has been

performing the annual relative accuracy testing for the facility's stack-analyzer systems for the last several years as well. Our source testing staff are all OSHA HAZWOPER trained and generally have experience levels ranging from 10 to 35 years of experience in source testing, itself. They are thoroughly familiar with all EPA promulgated test methods, many of EPA's test methods and the alternative methods that are published on EPA's test method site. ENSR has been in the source-testing business for over three decades, and the quality of our work must be able to be validated through our own Page 12

internal quality assurance, quality-control systems, through EPA method specific QA/QC requirements, by independent regulatory agency review and often by third-party reviewers. Our work must be performed to the highest standards.

In addition, as a professional engineer, I am also held to a high standard, so when I sign my name to a test report, I must have confidence that that work product is of high quality. The work we have done for Veolia is no exception. We have performed all work in accordance with EPA methods, using properly calibrated equipment, all test results were reviewed for accuracy and to assure that they met the required parameters for valid testing and the lab results were also reviewed to make sure that

all QA/QC metrics were met as well. Veolia has made a significant commitment to understand the performance of their units at Sauget, and I am fully confident that the test results show their compliance with the applicable HWC MACT standards.

Finally, in my experience having worked with many different facilities over the years, the Sauget facility represents one of the top performers in the industry.

Thank you very much.

MS. POPE: The next speaker will be Rich Sauget, Junior.

MR. SAUGET: Hello my name is Rich Sauget,

Junior, S-A-U-G-E-T. My resume starts as a resident of
the Village of Sauget. I'm also the president of the

070908a Board of Trustees for the Village of Sauget. I'm also 15 the President of the School District Board, School 16 District 187, which serves: Cahokia, Sauget, Alorton --17 parts of Alorton -- and Centreville. And I'm also the 18 chairman of the Work Enforcement Investment Board, which 19 is the five county arm of St. Clair County that looks 20 21 over all work-force issues in this area. And that's 22 where I'm going to basically start my discussion. The area that we -- that we're in right now in the 23 24

Village of Sauget is part of, you know, a regional area, and the greater area of Southwestern Illinois is doing

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

16

quite well in certain aspects, but the area that Sauget isn't necessarily doing so well we have high unemployment. We have quite a few people that are under the poverty line, and we have, although a cluster of industry within my village, as well as my neighbors in Cahokia and East St. Louis and Centreville, that have had some tough times but are still striving to do well.

With Veolia and the amount of jobs that they provide and the community partner that they have shown themselves to be is very vital to our economic future for the -- as far as the village is concerned but also for the people that live in that village.

And so we've -- like I said, we've had a few bumps in the roads with a couple of businesses that have had some struggles, but we have survived by working together, and with our industrial community and with American Bottoms, we've been able to forge through it. So to be able to have the opportunity to keep those jobs in the Page 14

19 area is vital.

From the school board standpoint, we have an evershrinking economic base, which is based upon the property
assessment. We've had a couple bumps there, too. A few
businesses have left. We have an ever-declining
assessment. In working with Veolia, they have, I would
say, done their part in the bargain, as far as keep their

assessments where they need to. They've always been willing to work with the village and the county to make sure that they're always up to date and to make sure that they're paying their fair share. They also are working with the school district, as far as working with the children, helping with labs, keeping equipment up to date or working with that equipment and working in the -- in the labs to make sure that they're up to snuff.

They are also working with Archview Economic

Development Corporation, which is a corporation that is
made of its members, which are industrial folks, business
folks in the -- basically the Alorton -- basically, the
school district, Centreville Township, and Veolia has
been a founding member. They've worked very, very
closely with the school district for the career day,
which brings in four to five hundred juniors and seniors
every year working at GCS Stadium to allow the youth of
our community to know what opportunities they have in
their area, places that they drive by that they, you
know, two years ago they had no idea it was even a place
where you could get a job.

Λ	7	n	a	Λ	Q	3
·	' / '	u	9	u	Ω.	a

22 So I see the -- Veolia as a very good partner with 23 the school district. And, finally, talking about the 24 Village of Sauget, it is a very delicately-balanced 25 community, as far as its industrial, heavy industrial in

part, as well as light industrial in others. If any of those parties, you know, leave the table, it makes things difficult.

We have a nice mix with our American Bottoms. We're still trying to grow our declining base, which has seen some tough times. At one time we were a very small community with a very strong tax base; that is not the case anymore, no matter what people may say. We are not what we once were, but Veolia is keeping us where we are and giving us the opportunities where we have a little new growth.

We're working toward greener type energy. We opened a green corridor along Route 3, and the new ethanol plant and some of the things that Veolia is doing is allowing us to have that opportunity. In working with Veolia, I've been very, very satisfied with their openness, as far as their books, to be able to make sure that they are keeping their negotiations fair, as far as assessment.

I drive by their facility on a weekly basis, if not daily, and I think that they keep it up. I have toured the facility many, many times. I'm very, very comfortable with them in our community, and I know in talking with our residents within my community they see them as a partner --

MS. POPE: Conclude.
Page 16

3

3

19

MR. SAUGET: -- not as a foe or an adversary 1 2 but, like I said, we're very, very comfortable having them in our community. Let me check my notes. I touched 3 on our green corridor and the growth of the village has 4 5 been successful here in the last three or four years, and I think Veolia is a very strong part of that. There's 6 7 been some revitalizations and taking over some brown 8 fields and putting them back into -- back into our tax 9 base. 10 We are hoping that Veolia can continue to thrive and 11 join in with the rebirth that the village has had to be able to use some of their excess property, hopefully, 12 13 which was actually given to the -- to Veolia in its early 14 stages from the village at one time. So it's been a long 15 partnership, and we hope to continue that partnership. Thank you. 16 Did Dee Reed ever show up? 17 MS. POPE: MS. DAMICO: No. 18 19 MS. POPE: We have a space here at this time if 20 anybody -- did anybody else sign up to make comments that is here now? 21 22 MS. DAMICO: Robert Schreiber. MR. SCHREIBER: S-C-H-R-E-I-B-E-R. My name is 23 24 Bob Schreiber. I'm president of Schreiber, Yonley 25 Associates. It's an office located in St. Louis County,

Missouri. Schreiber, Yonley Associates has been retained by Veolia to comment on its US EPA draft Title V permit and to ensure it meets all the requirements outlined in the federal and state laws. We are specialists in combustion of hazardous waste and have worked on many air-hazardous waste permits through the United States. I'm also a professional engineer in Illinois and Missouri and 34 other states throughout the nation.

A little bit of my background, I have a BS in chemical engineering from the University of Missouri in Columbia in 1972. After that, I worked with the Illinois EPA in the Air Permitting Section from 1972 through '74. I have a long history in air permits. I then moved to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources where I was an inspection engineer in 1974, and then I moved up to where I was director of the State's Air Program in 1978 and held that position until 1980. In 1980, I was the Director of Programs for the State of Missouri, and I did that from 1980 to 1985, so I covered both air and hazardous waste during that time period.

In 1985, I left state government and formed Schreiber, Yonley and Associates and continue to do environmental engineering. As a personal note, I'm a native of Granite City, Illinois, so I have some familiarity with this area. My father was raised in East

St. Louis, and my grandparents lived there until the early '70's before they passed away.

The reason I bring that up I do remember back in the '50's and '60's going to visit them from Granite City to Page 18

East St. Louis, Illinois, and sometimes going on to Cahokia, Illinois. And during that trip, you would pass the coke oven where it was black. You would pass a steel mill where it was red. You would pass a big hundred acre open burning dump where the current Speedway currently lies where you had to turn your lights on during the day just to be able to see down the road. You then went past a packing plant that had a rendering plant that really smelled very good and then you -- in East St. Louis.

If you decided to go from East St. Louis to Cahokia and went through Sauget, it had a high density of chemical plants that also had very strong odors. During that time period, you very seldom saw a blue sky. It just did not exist. And the air was so thick you could cut it with a knife; and, quite frankly, the situation has improved tremendously since that time period.

Back in about 1980, Trade Waste Incineration was started to do hazardous-waste incineration. Prior to that, there really wasn't anyplace for hazardous waste to go, other than the open burn or put into a landfill. And even though East St. Louis does not currently meet the

 current air-quality standards, the situation has improved tremendously here since the '50's and '60's up until now, and a large part of that is due to activities that have been done with the Clean Air Act passage, the work that's been done by the US EPA and also the work that's been done by the Illinois EPA and in taking on a lot of the pollution activities that have occurred in the earlier

time periods. My staff and I have reviewed the 178 page permit to confirm that all the state and federal requirements have been met.

The Title V permit application is supposed to be a one stop shop document that, basically, ties all of the past instruction permits, all of the current Illinois regulations and all of the current operating permits that they have to abide by and all of the standards so that the facility knows what they have to comply with, the agency knows what it has to comply with and the citizens can review that and also determine what the applicable regulations are.

The application and draft permit includes limits for the three incinerators there for such things as visible emissions, which you can see coming from the stack where they have a continuous monitor on it. Sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, which they also have a continuous monitor for. A continuous monitor is something like

having your speedometer that is tied to a recorder that the police can come in and check what you've been doing for the previous times you've documented. They also have to check for organic emissions or VOCs. That's got a continuous monitor for it. Also, other pollutants that are regulated such as nitrogen oxide, other hazardous pollutions, hydrogen chloride, and then they also have to show that the incinerator can destroy all the waste they put into it with a very high degree of destruction.

They have operating permit limits and what those mean is that they have a permit after they do a stack Page 20

	070908a
12	test that
13	MS. POPE: Conclude.
14	MR. SCHREIBER: They have to go through and
15	demonstrate how they comply with all those particular
16	limits. The draft permit for Veolia's facility contain
17	the operating constraints and the required emission
18	controls to achieve the minimization of emissions from
19	the hazardous-waste combustors and all associated
20	activities. The draft permit contains provisions to
21	demonstrate and document Veolia's compliance with the
22	permit limits.
23	It is my personal belief the US EPA has done a very
24	thorough job in writing this permit. It meets both the
25	intent and requirements of the MACT standards, the Title
	24
1	V permitting requirements, and the Clean Air Act outlined
2	by Congress in 1990. Thank you very much.
3	MS. POPE: Dr. Jed Deets.
4	DR. DEETS: Good afternoon. I'm Jed Deets and
5	I thank you for the opportunity to speak today on behalf
6	of Veolia and their Title V Air Permit. A little bit of
7	my background. I have a bachelor of mathematics from
8	SIU, a master's degree in leadership from the University
9	of Hawaii and a doctorate degree of education from SIU
10	Edwardsville.
11	I served as a high school and middle school teacher
12	for seven years, a principal for two years, an elementary

3

13

14

superintendent of St. Clair County for nine years,

superintendent for two years and the regional

regional superintendent of schools in St. Clair County for five years.

And then the reason why I'm here today I had the privilege of serving as the Cahokia unit school superintendent for years 2000 to 2005 for five years, and it was during my tenure -- in fact, my old boss is here, future speaker, former mayor of Cahokia, who hired me in that position. But it was during that tenure as Cahokia superintendent that I had the opportunity to work with Veolia and Mr. Doug Harris.

I think back to my very first Chamber of Commerce

meeting when the businesses were invited to meet the new superintendent, and everyone came up to give me their business cards and wanted to tell me what a valuable educational partner they wanted to be, and I carefully filed all those cards away knowing from my vast experience, that when businesses tell you they want to be an educational partner, they really don't want to be a partner. They want — they want you to do good things in their community, and they want to help out, but I kept that card, and it wasn't a month or two later, the next chamber meeting, or maybe it was even at a Kiwanis meeting, Mr. Harris came up and said, Dr. Deets, we want to be a business partner, an educational partner, what can we do to help your schools.

So now that he's asked twice, I began to probe and say, what is it that you can do to help Cahokia School District, and he listed off four or five things, and one of them he mentioned that was very timely was the Page 22

disposal of hazardous waste because the State of Illinois
has very strict guidelines for schools on the
identification of toxic waste and disposal of that. And
we were struggling as a school district on how we were
going to dispose of that. And he, Mr. Harris, said no
problem; we'll have staff members come out and work with
your science teachers and other teachers, and within two

weeks all of our toxic waste had been disposed of in a legal manner. That was very helpful to the school district.

Other activities that I can think of that were helpful that Veolia provided to the school district included at least four or five times a year at the elementary level, middle-school level and high-school level we asked for career-education speakers to speak to our students about future opportunities in the business world. Veolia was always very cooperative about sending speakers out.

It won't be a surprise to you all of our extracurricular activities -- we're constantly asking the area businesses for donations to support our activities. Veolia was one of the most generous sponsors of all those activities, and I can think of a -- I can remember one Christmas when they donated hundreds of pairs of gloves and stocking caps to our needy families and, once again, a good educational partner.

Perhaps, the most important contribution came in the form of the Archview Economic Development Corporation

that Mayor Sauget talked about previously. During my first year in the office, myself and Mr. Sauget talked about what can we do to promote economic development and education within the Cahokia school boundaries, and the

idea -- well, the first step was to invite several of the business leaders of the community, and Mr. Harris was quick to respond and accept that invitation.

I know Mayor Reed was there as well. I think other mayors were included. I think the mayor of Cahokia at the time was there, and the mayor of Dupo also came, but from that meeting we started the idea of Archview Economic Development with the mutual goals of promoting education and businesses within the Cahokia school boundaries, and that was four or five years ago, and I know that it's been extremely effective to date and --

MS. POPE: Conclude.

DR. DEETS: -- one of the things, as a school superintendent, that we ask businesses to do, was to provide educational opportunity for high-school graduates because high-school graduates need to know that there's a connection from their schooling to work. And every year Mr. Harris would call the high school and say, we have opportunities for these two to five jobs; will you send us some of your best high-school graduates so that we can employ them in our area, and I can tell you during my tenure at Cahokia that Veolia was one of the most valuable business partners, community members we have, and because of all their support for the school district, I'm here to speak on behalf of their Title V Air Permit.

3

28

1	Thank you.
2	MS. POPE: Next is Brad J. Harriman.
3	MR. HARRIMAN: Present. Good afternoon. My
4	name is Brad Harriman. I'm the Regional Superintendent
5	of Schools for St. Clair County. And I don't want to be
6	redundant in some of the things that Jed touched upon.
7	I'll tell you a little bit about myself first. I've
8	been a life-long resident of St. Clair County. I've been
9	in education in this county for thirty years. Starting
10	my thirtieth year now. I've served as a teacher, a
11	coach, administrator in both private and public schools.
12	I've served the last two years as regional
13	superintendent. I was elected to the position in
14	November of '06. Mine is an elected position that really
15	seeks to serve all of the citizens and the school
16	districts of St. Clair County.
17	Our office is, basically, a compliance arm of the
18	State Board of Education. Not every school district
19	there's over nine hundred in the State of Illinois. Not
20	every school district can contact the State Board of
21	Education directly so they seek services through the
22	regional office. Anything from compliance of school
23	policies and school codes to laws governing attendance,
24	discipline, things like that.
25	I've only come to know Doug Harris in the last two

years since I took the position as regional superintendent. He introduced himself -- actually, it was Jed that introduced Doug and I, and Doug right away wanted to offer his services personally and any services that his company could offer the school districts of St. Clair County.

So I didn't really know what Veolia did at the time, but Doug informed me of not only what they do but who they employ so I'm here more to speak about -- about the character. I don't know much on the industry side of this thing, but I can say that Doug Harris has never turned me down. He's a friend to education in St. Clair County.

I've had to make a call -- I had to make a very difficult call to him. In my coaching days you get pretty close to a lot of young men and women, and I had a young man who had really fallen on hard times, and he was homeless. His marriage had fallen apart. His life had fallen apart. He was really struggling and he needed -- he needed somebody to help him get on the right track. So one of the phone calls that I made was to Mr. Harris about employment opportunity for the young man, and he's worked very well with me in trying to get this guy back on the right track.

So when it comes to character, I have a lot of

respect, and I hold him in very high regard. I have
toured the facility. As I said, I don't know much about
the industry, but I've toured the facility, and Doug has
informed me about the service that his company does Page 26

5 provide. I do know that his company is a vital part of 6 St. Clair County. I feel that employment at Veolia gives people an opportunity to earn a decent living wage and 7 become productive taxpayers, and I certainly appreciate 8 9 that. So not only am I here to speak for Veolia and for 10 Mr. Harris, but I'm also here to thank him. Thank you. MS. POPE: Did we have another speaker, Gen? 11 12 MS. DAMICO: Dee Reed is in attendance. MS. POPE: Dee Reed. 13 MS. REED: Thank you very much. My name is Dee 14 15 Reed, and I am here today to speak on behalf of Veolia for the permit. I am speaking today as a Cahokia 16 17 resident. I'm a life-long resident of Cahokia. I was 18 born in 1953 so you can do the math. I'm fifty-five years old, but I come here because I am a former mayor of 19 20 the Village of Cahokia. I served as the mayor from 2001 21 to 2003. 22 Prior to that, I worked at the Cahokia Public Library District for twenty-four years. So in my

23 24

25

1

2

3 4

5

6 7 capacity as library employee, I was also a school board member for Cahokia Unit School District. It was there

3

31

that I first heard about Trade Waste Incineration, and some of you may or may not know that Veolia has had a number of names over the years. As Trade Waste Incineration, I just knew that they were doing some things with the school, but it was only when I got to the mayor's office, that I truly learned about what Onyx Environmental then did when I was called upon by Mr. Doug

Harris to come and do a tour of the plant.

Well, obviously, when you're a new mayor, everyone calls on you to do tours of places. Not. Doug was probably the only one that kept pursuing me and saying, I really want you to come out here and see the plant and see exactly what we're doing here, and I did tour the plant. I not only felt very comfortable that everything was being done to the letter of the law, but I saw the employees, and I became very familiar with a lot of the employees.

I'm smiling at Gale Olden right now. Gale not only would call the office on numerous occasions asking what they could do for the Village of Cahokia, what could we do for the schools and for the village, itself, and they were always there for any kind of Kiwanis, any kind of Rotary, any kind of tournament, any kind of event. Always interested in helping children of Cahokia and the families of Cahokia and I saw it over and over again.

I do want to say the main thing I wanted to say today is in the mayor's office you hear a lot from people from all levels. You hear from corporate leaders. You hear from education leaders. You hear from normal people. Normal people, you like that?

But what I want to say is you hear a lot of things; that in living in Cahokia near Sauget where there's a tremendous amount of industry, you hear a lot about green -- and I don't mean green issues. Now, today I mean little green people, like what happened way back years ago, and I'm going to be real honest. I lived on Page 28

Edwards Street. I played in Dead Creek. My parents, they died young. They died at fifty-two and fifty-seven. Why they died, you know, medical issues. I don't know that we can blame any one thing, but back then I want to say that people did things that, perhaps, you wouldn't even think about doing today.

Today our IEPA, our different governmental regulations, we just -- we are living in such a good world right now because we have people like Doug Harris and Gale Olden and George Smith and all the people that work at Veolia. I still live in Cahokia. I want to live in Cahokia, but I want you to remember one thing that Doug Harris -- he probably won't even remember he told me this. But when we did that tour of the plant, he said to

me, you know, we our based here in Sauget, Illinois, but there are only two hundred residents that live in Sauget. We truly think of Cahokia as our community, and I want to do anything we can to help the Village of Cahokia. That's the kind of person Doug Harris is. That's the kind of leadership that he leads Veolia Environmental with, and I speak out very strongly for Veolia. I speak out very strongly for Doug Harris, and I appreciate the opportunity to address this group today. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Are there any other speakers signed up at this point? No one? Just as a reminder -- just as a reminder, the deadline for all written comments are due July 18th. The deadline for all written comments. If you have written comments, they're due by July 18th.

	070000
15	070908a Okay. You can also go on line and submit comments,
16	and we have that web address on the back of the agenda.
17	So you may go on line and submit comments, and, again,
18	the deadline is July 18th. Is there anyone who would
19	like to give comments at this time? At this time then
20	we'll begin our question-and-answer period. I'll have
21	Genevieve and Charles Hall to come up front.
22	
23	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
24	
25	MS. POPE: At this time our public hearing will

continue. Speaker Robert J. McCormick can come on up.

MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you very much. Again, my
name is Rob McCormick. I am the founder and president of
Franklin Engineering Group. Franklin Engineering is a
professional services company located in the Nashville,
Tennessee, area that specializes in applications
involving hazardous-waste combustion and air-pollution
controls associated with that.

I and my colleague, Dennis Knisley, are here today to speak about the particular project that we performed for Veolia that we think has relevance to this proceeding. This project involved human health risk assessment for the facility.

Dennis is going to get into details of that. I'd like to start by just giving a bit of introductory information on our company, the type of service we perform and a little background information on the risk-assessment process for those of you who may not be Page 30

19 familiar with it.

First of all, myself, I'm a chemical engineer. By way of education, I have a bachelor of science degree from Washington University here in St. Louis. Received that in 1977. So I have thirty years experience. Almost all of it is related to hazardous waste combustion and air-pollution control of those types of sources.

My experience includes design incinerators, operation of incinerators. I once worked for a company similar to, or in the same business, as Veolia. I also have some experience in environmental permitting and performance testing of these types of facilities. My company, Franklin Engineering, specializes in the same areas. In the last ten years, we've worked for about forty incineration facilities nationwide that hold boilers or other type of industrial furnaces that burn hazardous waste.

Most of these are chemical manufacturers are disposing of a product that they or waste they generated inhouse. Some of them are US Government facilities for explosive waste. Some of them are commercial incineration facilities such as Veolia.

Our services range from design and construction, modification of incineration facilities to preparation of environmental-permit documents, performance-test plans and also risk assessments. And that's what I'm here specifically to talk about today.

For those of you who are not familiar with risk

assessments, it is one of two ways in which the emissions
performance of hazardous-waste incinerators is assessed
and regulated. First way that these emissions are
regulated is through emission standards, which are

generally concentration based or percent removal based.

Hazardous-waste incinerator emissions were first regulated in this fashion about -- well, in the early 1980s through the Resource Conservation Recovery Act or RCRA, as we refer to it in the industry. These emissions are now regulated under the Clean Air Act MACT standards, which are also concentration-based standards. That acronym MACT stands for maximum achievable control technology.

As I said before, these are concentration-based emission standards. The particular pollutants that are regulated are dioxin and furans, total hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, particulate matter, HCL and chlorine gas and various metals including mercury. There is also a percent removal base standard for what are called principal hazardous organic constituents in waste fed.

Compliance with all these emission standards must be demonstrated through performance testing under what we called worst-case conditions. That is minimum combustion temperature, maximum waste-feed rates, maximum metals-and-chlorine feed rates, maximum gas-flow rates, et cetera.

For example, the current MACT standard for particulate matter emissions is .015 grains per dry standard cubic foot. In order to pass the performance Page 32

test, the incinerator must be able to demonstrate particulate concentrations in the stack gas less than the standard under worst-case operating conditions.

All hazardous-waste incinerators, including Veolia, are required to meet these MACT standards. In addition to the concentration-based standards, some incinerators have been asked to perform human health risk assessments under the auspices of the RCRA omnibus authority.

This human risk assessment is a complex process that involves characterization of stack emissions, air-dispersion modeling, behavior of deposited pollutants in soil and water, and estimated uptake of constituents by animal and plant life.

The ultimate goal is to estimate potential exposure by human beings through a variety of pathways including direct inhalation and ingestion. We've been involved in a number of these projects. At this point I'm going to turn it over to Dennis Knisley to talk about the details of the project we performed for Veolia. Thank you very much for your attention.

MS. POPE: This is Dennis R. Knisley.

MR. KNISLEY: Good afternoon. I'm a registered professional engineer in the State of Tennessee, and I currently serve as Vice President of Operations for the Franklin Engineering Group, Incorporated. I have a

Master's of Science degree in chemical engineering and have spent the last twenty-three years in various positions related to chemical process and application of environment regulations and industrial sources.

US EPA Region 5 performed a Risk Screening that included a number of simplifying assumptions for the Veolia incineration facility dated March 22, 2004. Based on the results of the risk screening, US EPA recommended annual emission limits for mercury, cadmium and chromium that resulted in certain short-term emission limits that were more stringent than the HWC MACT standards.

The HWC MACT standards for the other metals were determined protective of human health and environment based on those results. In April 2004, Franklin Engineering undertook the project to conduct a more detailed site specific risk assessment in accordance with the guidelines published in the 1998 HHRAP Guidance Document for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities. This was a peer-review draft. That was the prevalent risk assessment methodology in use at the time. Risk assessment report was submitted in September 2004. The document was reviewed by the US EPA Region 5 and Illinois EPA.

A revised risk assessment report was submitted in October of the next year, 2005. The final HHRAP guidance

document was also issued by US EPA in September of 2005, and several of the clarifying procedures in that document were used to prepare the October 2005 report.

Additionally, there were a number of site-specific Page 34

clarifications and modifications related to airdispersing modeling, risk modeling and other items that were utilized to construct the October 2005 report.

The result of the risk assessment, which is where I'll spend the rest of my time talking are expressed in incremental carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic effects from incinerator emissions on individuals that live within the risk-assessment area.

The risk-assessment protocol accounts for inhalation pathways, as well as other pathways, including the potential food-chain routes of exposure. The benchmark for evaluating the carcinogenic effects recommended by US EPA is the individual risk associated with exposure to potential carcinogens released from a single facility should not exceed 1 in 100,000. Conduct of the Veolia risk assessment for carcinogenic effects included evaluation of adult and child resident pathways, as well as adult and child recreational fisher pathways.

All four of these scenarios resulted in incremental cancer risk less than the benchmark of 1 in 100,000.

Additionally, there's a benchmark for evaluating the

 non-carcinogenic health effects, and those are a hazard quotient of 1.0. However, for the purposes of evaluating non-carcinogenic health effects from a single incineration facility, US EPA suggested that the calculated hazard quotient should be less then 0.25, which is four times lower. Consistent with the evaluation of the carcinogenic risk, the non-carcinogenic

070908a

risk was re-evaluated in four distinct pathways, adult and child resident and adult and child recreational fisher.

Segregated hazard indices based on the target organs were developed to evaluate the non-carcinogenic effects. The results presented in the October 2005 Risk Assessment Report indicate that for each combination of constituent and pathway evaluated the hazard quotient was less than the benchmark of 0.25. Therefore, emissions evaluated are not likely to impose adverse effects related to non-carcinogenic hazard.

To summarize the discussion of potential health effects associated with emissions from the Veolia facility, based on the conservative evaluation described in the October 2005 Risk Assessment Report, the potential health effects, both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic, are below the benchmarks established by US EPA.

Therefore, the HWC MACT standards proposed in the

Title V permit should be protective of human health and environment. Let me conclude with a practical comparison. I drove from Nashville, Tennessee, today to address this meeting. Based on information from the National Traffic -- National Highway Traffic Safety Association, in 2006, there was an 860 in 100,000 chance of being injured in a car accident. Our risk-assessment results indicate that there is less than a 1 in 100,000 chance that after thirty years there will be any carcinogenic effects based on the conservative estimate of emissions from the Veolia facility. Thank you for Page 36

12 your attention. 13 MS. POPE: Is Karen Yonley here? Come on, Miss 14 Yonley. To remind you, there is no drinking or eating in 15 this room. 16 MS. YONLEY: Good afternoon. My name is Karen 17 Yonley, Y-O-N-L-E-Y. I'm a chemical engineer and a licensed professional engineer, and I was asked to speak 18 19 by Veolia this afternoon on behalf of the Veolia's risk assessment, as spoken by the last couple of speakers. 20 I'm a principal engineer with Schreiber, Yonley and 21 22 Associates in West County in St. Louis. We're an environmental engineering firm, and as part of my 23 responsibilities with our firm, I've been involved for 24

over the last twenty years with hazardous waste

3

25

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

42

combustion facilities, with the permitting and study of the emissions of those facilities.

So my experience has included, for example, applying the Clean Air Act regulations and the Resource Conservation Recovery Act regulations, working on permit applications and permit conditions for the hazardous waste-combustion facilities, analyzing emissions, testing results and coordinating and studying the results of multi-pathway risk assessment that, again, that Franklin Engineering speakers were talking about. And that is used as a component of the permitting process.

I've been involved with risk-assessment topics for hazardous-waste combustion facilities in EPA's Regions 4, 5, 6 and 7, and those include facilities in the states

of: Arkansas, Missouri, Kansas, Indiana, Tennessee, Mississippi, South Carolina and Texas. So I have been involved with a lot of what's been going on in the United States to see the kind of examples of the studies that have been done.

So due to my experience in this area, I was asked by Veolia to provide a peer review of the approach and the result of the risk assessment that was prepared for the Veolia incinerators by Franklin Engineering. My comments are based on both our company's involvement in the Title V permitting process that Bob Schreiber spoke about and

that is the subject of this meeting and our review of the risk assessment and the result.

A little bit of a repeat, but I wanted to speak a moment again about the purpose of the risk assessment and the permitting process. As also said, the incinerating emissions are regulated in the Title V by the hazardous-waste combustion, which is HWC, MACT regulations and those specified emissions limits for dioxin, metals, carbon monoxide, THC and HCL/C2, and those standards were established by EPA over many years through evaluating the maximum-achievable controls of these types of facilities and then also verifying that these types of emissions were protective through a risk-assessment process on a national basis.

Once the standards were established, they were applied to all the hazardous-waste combustion facilities such as Veolia. The Resource Conservation Recovery Act or RCRA permitting process is where the risk assessment Page 38

results are actually reviewed and applied. That's a separate and additional permitting process from the Title IV, and the RCRA permitting process includes the requirement for EPA to address protectiveness of the human health and the health of the environment on the site-specific basis for each permit.

So the risk-assessment tool can be used and is used

to document the facility emissions are protected both on a short and long-term basis. Veolia has prepared a risk assessment, as described by Franklin Engineering, to document that the MACT emissions standards that are in the Title V are protected. Therefore, although it's part of a separate permitting process, the result can also provide some assurance to the Clean Air Act permitting process.

From my review, I found that the approach that's used in the risk assessment for Veolia is based on EPA's guidance and methods that are currently being used across the industry for permitting. The EPA methods, as already indicated, are based on very complex methods and guidance. The process allows for a combination of both site specific and default assumptions for the modeling parameters.

I found that the Veolia risk assessment follows the guidance and modeling approaches as used across the nation and different information also that's available by EPA for risk assessment, and it does both the default and site-specific assumptions.

As typical, many worst-case assumptions are used in the risk assessment, and, for example, in this one, one, that the use of highest-maximum emissions is used, as opposed to the actual emissions that are seen over the

course of the thirty-year period that it studied.

Also, the point of maximum impact that's determined from the air-dispersion modeling is used instead of where people would, actually, reside and have activity. So both of those would act to significantly overstate any potential maximum emission impact.

Therefore, that's -- all these conservative assumptions are what helped render the risk assessments to be conservative in nature. In Veolia's case where the site-specific assumptions have been used, the facility gathered data to document the appropriateness and representative of the information.

The result of the risk-assessment study that I have reviewed for Veolia document that any potential cancer or non-cancer risk to human health from facility emissions is lower than the acceptable threshold established by EPA as was also mentioned by Franklin. The hazard quotient for non-cancer effect and then any carcinogenic effects is one in a hundred thousand, and the facility is below those benchmarks.

Therefore, in conclusion and based on my knowledge of the Veolia incineration facility and hazardous-waste combustion permitting, I believe that Veolia's risk assessment is both representative of the approaches used across the industry, and that the MACT emission limits Page 40

1	that are in the Title IV permit are expected to be
2	protective of human health. Thank you.
3	MS. POPE: Leah Dettmers. What's the name of
4	the first speaker?
5	MS. DAMICO: State Representative Reitz.
6	MS. POPE: State Representative Reitz.
7	MR. REITZ: I'm State Representative Dan Reitz

MR. REITZ: I'm State Representative Dan Reitz. I serve as state representative for the 116th District, which includes Sauget and Veolia technical Solutia plant.

I'd like to start by thanking the US EPA and thank you for the hearing and working with the Illinois EPA on the regulators to assure that the company operates good and proper permits to safely operate in my district.

The Veolia plant and similar companies I think play an important role in cleaning up our environment and providing a safe place for disposal of hazardous waste. I've had several opportunities to visit the facility. I'm extremely impressed by how professional and conscientious the workers are when I've been on the tour.

I'm also aware of the outreach program this plant has with the community, and they work with the local high school and posting career days, and they have been a good employer and putting some of the youth to work as they graduate from high school. They've also established a great working relationship with the emergency planning

committee and the Village of Sauget.

Veolia is an integral part of the economy in Sauget and in my district and provides over two hundred jobs through the region. We appreciate the investment they make and the valuable service they provide. There's a need throughout our country to expand these types of facilities. To meet the demands, more products are classified as hazardous waste. Hazardous waste in an effort to provide a safer and cleaner environment for our citizens.

Speaking with local elected officials, I'm aware of the hope that future expansion for the Veolia company will be in the Sauget area. This potential expansion would be welcome by our region, and I would offer my assistance in any project that provides any type of economic development.

I'd like to thank you, once again, for your work and the EPA to guarantee that we have a safe place to dispose of hazardous waste, and that we have adequate protection from the dangers that improper disposal would have on our area. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Leah?

23 MS. DAMICO: Leah is still not in attendance, 24 but Darryl Elbe is here.

25 MS. POPE: Darryl Elbe. Darryl Elbe, would

1 you, please, state your full name, and spell your last
2 name.

MR. ELBE: My name is Darryl Elbe, E-L-B-E. I

am retired Emergency Management Agency Director for St.

Page 42

Clair County. Retired in 2006. Served about sixteen years and I just wanted to say this about -- well, Trade Waste and then Onyx and now Veolia. That in my years of being Emergency Management Director, we never once had to respond to a spill or a release down there.

They've been very good. They've been good to work with while I was there. They're still good to work with. They've -- we've had the opportunity to form a hazmat team, hazardous material team, in St. Clair County. They were a very intricate part.

A gentleman by the name of Steve Luthy (phonetic) provided us space to hold our meetings with all the people when we first formed the hazmat team. They were great to work with and I'm sure as a member -- I still serve on the St. Clair Special Emergency Services on their board, which is the hazmat team here in St. Clair County, and I look forward to working with the people from Veolia, and I thank you for your time.

MR. FEHER: Good afternoon. My name is Don Feher, retired fire chief from Fairview Fire Department, Fairview Heights, Illinois. I was county fire chief,

 association president for a period of something over fifteen years. After fifteen I stopped counting. Also, the LEPC chair for the county, local emergency planning committee chairman for St. Clair County and that was well over fifteen years.

I was appointed to that position by then County
Board Chairman, John Baricevic, and carried on since then

under the current. Mr. Elbe pretty much took some of the thunder away from what I was going to say in that we had a very active, and we do have a very active, LEPC committee.

The need for response capability because we don't see a lot of incidents within the site or coming from the industries that are home based here in the county as much as we do by rail and by truck, and it's the ability to have a response capability throughout the county was very important.

And the industries some ten years ago worked with us very closely to help establish that organization. Veolia was very much a part of that. It wasn't called that at the time. It was Onyx, and we had mentioned Steve Luthy, and Steve played a very notable part in that. We used their facility for probably about a six-month period with attorneys out of Springfield, from the Attorney General's Office, Illinois EPA, State's Attorney here in St. Clair

County and a couple of attorneys, and also the attorneys from various industries helped structure an organization used in response.

Veolia, we used their facility. Ran up their phone bills and all that sort of stuff, and even today the organization exists primarily through donations and stuff, and they did play an integral part.

As LEPC chair, I monitored the industries, who have had hazardous materials and stuff within the county, are required by law, by federal statute to report to us, and I monitor that reporting. They've been faithful about Page 44

their reporting to us and what they do have so that we can share that with the fire departments and especially the hazmat team, which serves the entire county.

They've done an excellent job. I've worked with Mr. Luthy very closely during the formation and got to understand their facets of things and especially the safety. Took me through some of their safety plans through their facility a number of times to show some of the safeguards and stuff in their facility. Look forward to working with them even more and, I guess, that's about all I've got to say at this point in time. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Are there any more speakers? Is there anyone that would like to make public comments? Is there anyone who has a question that they need answered?

 Could you come to the podium, please? This is Carrie Yonley?

MS. DETTMERS: No, I'm Leah Dettmers. I do apologize for my tardiness. Upon review of the permit applications submitted by Veolia, I have determined that this project is consistent with the Final Preferred Solid Waste Management Plan for Madison County.

Veolia's operation of their incinerator and reclamation department has been beneficial to Madison County and its residents by disposing of waste such as, unused medical waste, latex/oil based paints, and other household hazardous materials. Without the much-needed incinerator, only one of three in the country, residents and departments of Madison County would inevitably be

070908a storing tons of waste in their homes and warehouses, possibly in violation of federal, state and local solid-waste ordinances. In October of 2007, Veolia provided their services and incinerators to dispose of 300 pounds of unused medical waste from 2,000 homes in Madison County pro bono. They have disposed of waste properly in partnership with the following organizations: Madison County Sheriff, Southern Illinois Law Enforcement Commission, SIU-E School of Pharmacy, Madison County

Planning and Development, and other numerous municipal departments or law enforcement.

Board Office, Madison County Recycling, Madison County

Since 2002, Veolia has also partnered with IEPA and Madison County Recycling to fuel blend/reclaim latex and oil-based paints from the public, averaging almost 20,000 gallons a year.

In conclusion, the operations of Veolia are secure, efficient, and well-maintained. All aspects of safety are top priority for employees, as well as the surrounding areas and environment. As Solid Waste Coordinator for Madison County, it is my professional opinion that Veolia would be considered for the permit for the benefit of Madison County and its residents. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Anyone else have a comment at this time? Would you, please, state your name, and spell your last name.

MS. LOGAN-SMITH: I'm Kathleen Logan-Smith.
Page 46

I'm the executive director of the Missouri Coalition For Environment. We inhale across the river and breathe the air occasionally from your side. And I just wanted to encourage the EPA to deny this permit today. This facility's history does not suggest that it -- it needs to remain in operation, as it has been operating.

The -- while whatever is in the analysis, the risk

analysis and whatever is in the permits might be protective, what's genuinely protective or not protective is how it actually operates on the ground and what's coming out of the stack, what's actually in the air that we breathe.

And one of the things that also we need to think about is this facility is not alone. There are a number of facilities in the area that are adding to the burden that we breathe, and so cumulative impact is something that needs to be considered in communities that are low income and minority communities that have already more than their share of environmental hazards that they have to deal with.

Particulate matter out of the facility is of particular concern for those of us in the non-attainment area looking for, you know, ever more research that comes out that shows fine particulate matters leads to heart disease and a number of health problems and causes numerous deaths across the region.

The other item of concern in our region and to me personally is lead because there is no safe level of

070908a 22 exposure to lead, and lead is one of the items that is emitted from the facility. So the -- when you look at 23 what comes out of the facility and what is added to in 24 25 the region, we need to be cautious and careful about 54 1 whether a facility that has an operating history like 2 this one does deserves another permit, and then if we 3 decide to permit such a facility, we need to make sure that it has the compliance schedules in it to maintain 4 compliance and the monitoring in it to allow us to make 5 6 sure it's happening the way that it should and the 7 enforcement from IEPA that makes sure that it actually 8 delivers on the promises that are made in that permit 9 because it's not the equations that are going to protect 10 your children, and it's not the formulas and the modeling that are going to protect us. It's what's, actually, 11 coming out of the stacks at any given moment. It's how 12 13 well things are handled there and whether people are -whether the operation is -- is exceeding minimum 14 15 standards. So we'll submit more detailed comments by 16 writing. MS. POPE: Any more public comments? Do we 17 18 have any questions that we can answer at this time? 19 MS. ANDRIA: Come on up, Kathy. 20 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 21 22 23 MS. POPE: Again, remember the deadline for 24 written comments are July 18th. At this time we'll be taking -- maybe we're going to take just a couple 25

Page 48

minutes, just a break, maybe five, just to get some
water. Thank you for coming, and we'll start again at
ten after.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

MS. POPE: We will now reconvene. We will now reconvene for our five o'clock public hearing. Good evening, everybody. My name is Janet Pope, and I am a Community Involvement Coordinator with the US Environmental Protection Agency. Tonight I will serve as your hearing officer. We have two other people from the US Environmental Protection Agency with me. We have Genevieve Damico, who is an Environmental Engineer, and Charlie Hall, who is also an Environmental Engineer with the US EPA.

Tonight we are to share information, listen to your questions and comments concerning EPA's proposal of a draft-operating permit for Veolia Environmental Services located in Sauget, Illinois.

I hope when you came in, you signed in. What that does is puts you on our mailing list, and if there's any information coming up regarding the site, we'll mail that to you.

Tonight's hearing will be three parts. First,

Genevieve Damico will provide an overview of the draft permit. Second, we will take your comments for the record; and, third, if time allows, we will have an informal question-and-answer period.

Now, with the exception of the informal question-and-answer session, a court reporter to my right will be making a transcript of the entire period with the exception of the question-and-answer session, and that transcript will be on file in our administrative record. You can also call Genevieve if you want a copy of this.

All persons wishing to speak tonight, when you signed in, I hope you checked the Do You Plan to Make Comments, there is a yes box, and now Genevieve Damico will give an overview of the draft permit.

MS. DAMICO: Good evening; the Veolia
Environmental Technical Services from Sauget, Illinois,
is a treatment, storage and disposal facility, which
accepts offsite waste for further disposal through
incineration. Containers and bulk shipments of hazardous
and solid wastes are received, analyzed and transferred
to temporary storage facilities, processed and
incinerated in one of three combustion units.

Veolia is located in an area that is attaining all of the national ambient air-quality standards except for the eight-hour ozone and particulate matter less than 2.5

 1 micrograms standard.

Veolia requires a Title V permit because it is a major source of hazardous air pollutants subject to requirements under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act for Page 50

070908a

boilers and hazardous-waste combustors.

Title V of the Clean Air Act requires, in part, that all major sources subject to standards under Section 112 operate in accordance with a permit. Most Title V operating permits are issued by state or local permitting authorities. EPA is issuing this permit because in response to a petition from the Sierra Club and the American Bottom Conservancy, EPA objected to the permit proposed to be issued by the Illinois EPA.

Under Title V, when EPA objects to the proposed permit, the state operating -- permitting authority has the opportunity to address EPA's concerns, but if EPA's concerns are not addressed in a timely fashion, then EPA becomes responsible for issuing or denying the permit. In this case, Illinois EPA did not respond to EPA's concerns in a timely manner, and on September 29th, 2006, EPA announced its intent to issue or deny Veolia a federal Title V permit. Veolia submitted its permit application to EPA on May 2nd, 2007. EPA deemed the application administratively complete on June 13th, 2007.

 Pursuant to a proposed settlement agreement between

EPA and the Sierra Club and the American Bottom Conservancy, EPA intends to complete the public comment process by July 18th, 2008, and issue or deny a final permit by September 12th, 2008.

Concurrently with this permitting process, EPA has alleged that Veolia is in noncompliance with the Clean Air Act. Nothing in this permit will shield Veolia from

any enforcement action related to past or ongoing violations that we have alleged.

EPA has included a compliance schedule in the draft permit that requires Veolia to test all three combustors, to develop operating parameter limits for emissions of mercury, low-volatile metals and semi-volatile metals as required by the hazardous waste combustion MACT. The draft permit requires that Veolia submit the results of this testing and revised operating parameter limits by September 26, 2008.

If EPA finds the revised operating parameter limits acceptable, EPA will reopen the permit to propose incorporating the operating parameter limits developed from the required performance test into the final permit and solicit public comments on the incorporation of the operating parameter limits into the permit. In addition, the permit may be reopened at a future time to incorporate additional applicable requirements or

compliance schedules.

MS. POPE: EPA public participation regulations require EPA to publish a public notice in the local newspaper. The public notice appeared in the East St.

Louis Monitor and the Belleville News Democrat, both published on June 5th. In addition, information was mailed to those who attended Illinois EPA public hearing for Veolia and the hearing for Veolia's neighbor, Solutia. Now, we'll begin our public comment period.

I'll call the speakers that have called and signed up first, and then I'll call the speakers who have signed up Page 52

12 in the back after that.

when you come up, please, say your full name, and spell your last name for our court reporter. If you have written comments and you don't want to speak, would you, please, make sure either myself, Genevieve or Charlie get those comments before you leave.

So that everyone who wishes to speak has a chance to do so, please, limit your comments to five minutes. At the five-minute period I will ask you to conclude. You will have an extra minute to conclude with your comments at that time. If you don't have enough time at that time, you can either write and submit written comments of your remaining comments or either you can come back up once the floor is clear. You can come back up and finish

 your comments. Okay. Remember, when you come up to repeat your name, spell your last name for the court reporter, and we'll begin this comment period at this time.

The first person that I'm going to call is Herb Simmons.

MR. SIMMONS: Good evening. My name is Herb Simmons, S-I-M-M-O-N-S. First of all, I'd like to thank the EPA for holding this hearing here, bringing the information to light to the public. I've been the mayor of East Carondelet for the past twenty-four years, and I have a good percentage of my residents have been employed by Veolia, and over the last couple weeks I've had the opportunity to talk with some of those employees and

their families to find out anything I could about the company, either positive or negative, and I haven't been able to find any negatives.

The people that I've talked to have assured me it's a good company to work for. Everybody is happy. Everything for people who have been there for 14, 15, even as high as 20 years that's been employed there. I also wear another hat. I've been in the EMS business for 38 years, and, to my knowledge, in all these years and the years that Veolia has been in operation, I have no knowledge of any type of problems, any disasters or

anything taking place that we would have been responding to.

So I'm here just on behalf of the Village of East Carondelet and the people that Veolia employs there, and I would ask that the permit that they are applying for be given great consideration. There's too many people out of work now. I don't need any other people in my community without a job. Thank you very much.

MS. POPE: The next speaker is Sharece Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Good afternoon. My name is Sharece Johnson, J-O-H-N-S-O-N. I am the Corporate Marketing Communications Supervisor for Kenneth Hall Regional Hospital. A couple years back we held a celebration in honor of National Safety Month, which is in June, where we give a safety festival to the residents of the community. About 300 people came, and at that time Veolia was nice enough to be one of our corporate citizens, and they sponsored the event. About 300 people

came to the event. We were able to raffle off over 30 bicycle helmets, a young girl bike, a young boy bike and a teen bicycle. We also had free food and free raffle items for everyone who attended, and we are very, very appreciative of Veolia's support on that date. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Is Rhonda Sauget?

MS. SAUGET: Yes. Thank you very much and good evening. My name is Rhonda Sauget, and I am the Executive Director of Archview Economic Development Corporation. Archview Economic Development Corporation is a 511C3 organization created about seven years ago with the mission of networking resources to help build a strong fiber for the communities we serve. We represent over 60 businesses, education and health care organizations in Cahokia, Centreville, Alorton, Sauget, Dupo, East Carondelet area. Archview's mission is to provide a strong social, educational and business infrastructure for the underserved communities with some of these communities listing among the poorest communities in the nation by household income.

Doug Harris, plant manager of Veolia, formerly Onyx, was a founding member and financial contributor to our organization. Since it's creation, Doug Harris currently serves on our board, and Veolia is a strong supporter of the community activities that we sponsor. His commitment has clearly demonstrated Veolia and his tireless dedication to improving our communities and enhancing the

things that we do in the underserved areas.

Veolia's corporate social responsibility goes far beyond what other companies in our area have done over the years. For example, Veolia has participated and

contributed significantly to many Archview activities, including our career day. At our career day we have over 400 underserved students from Dupo and Cahokia school districts, juniors and seniors that have come for the past four years. Those students learn about why it's important to stay in school, why it's important to graduate and make good grades. Career day also teaches our students about job opportunities in the communities so our kids can have the hope of staying in our community and having a good, wonderful life with their family, and certainly the future of our area can build on that.

Veolia has also participated in the Dupo Centennial 100th Year Celebration. This celebration helped the area and the village of Dupo really take pride in their community, and this is also one of our underserved areas. As mentioned just a few minutes ago, Veolia sponsored the Kenneth Hall Regional Safety Day event buying bicycles, helmets and sponsoring many safety activities for the children of East St. Louis, and that was a very important event, and it was wonderful for our kids.

Our community needs the jobs that Veolia brings to our residents. We need community support that Veolia brings to many of our organizational activities. In short, we need and want Veolia in our community. We applaud Veolia for its outstanding community support Page 56

3

3

64

1	throughout the years, and we strongly support Veolia's US
2	EPA permit application. Thank you very much.
3	MS. POPE: Are there any other speakers signed
4	up in the back, Charlie?
5	MR. HALL: No.
6	MS. POPE: Is there anybody that would like to
7	give a public comment at this time? Is there anybody who
8	has a question that they need answered at this time?
9	Okay. Well, the deadline for written comments are
10	July 18th. You can submit them in writing. You can
11	submit them online, but everything is due by July 18th.
12	The public hearing we're still going. I'm just talking,
13	but I encourage everybody, who wants to comment, to
14	comment, whether it is oral, in writing, fax, on line, I
15	encourage everybody to comment. Any comments? Any
16	questions? Sure, come on up, Kathy.
17	MS. ANDRIA: I'll ask from here.
18	
19	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
20	
21	MS. POPE: Okay. We'll continue with our
22	public hearing. And I'll just state this; that if you
23	have not signed up for a slot on the public comment
24	sheet, this is your last chance to do so. After we
25	finish this public comment period, we will not go back to

the public comment period. We will not go back. We will do the public comment period at this time. We will end with our question-and-answer section. We will not go back to the public comment period. So if you wish to make a public comment, please sign up at this time.

Is Frank Bergman here? Our first speaker, Frank Bergman.

MR. BERGMAN: I'll keep this brief and to the point, but I'm glad I had the opportunity to come here today to address everyone that's here and speak about my relationship -- our relationship to the Village of Cahokia's relationship -- I'm the mayor of the Village of Cahokia -- with Veolia and formerly Onyx.

when I first took over as mayor, I think what we asked for from all of our companies, businesses, corporations that work there is that they work with us, keep us informed, and Veolia being just a little bit outside does cross into our community. So we have had a very good relationship in that they make their business open to all of our other businesses. We open it up so people can see what it is that they do. They communicate with people with what it is that they do, and they inform everybody what they're doing so that we know and that way can prepare for anything that might be presented to us, any situation that could come up.

As corporations go, they are probably one of the, if not the, most cooperative of any in the area. They do that very well. They open their doors to us, and we appreciate that very much. On the economic side of that, Page 58

not only many of the people that I grew up with are now employees of Veolia -- am I saying that correctly? Just wanted to make sure that's correct. But there's also just a huge impact from them on the economic front from all of our other businesses there from buying goods and services from local vendors to the restaurants to the people that live there -- that live there and pay property taxes and participate in our community. So they are very good partners on that front as well.

From the community standpoint of helping with our children, there's any number of things from helping with community baskets in the wintertime for the senior citizens, people who are unable to afford food, various items that they contribute to, as well as to the youth activities and the community, and there's many of them instead of just one or two.

So they help with everything from all sorts of activities. So for our community, for us, Veolia has been very good to work with and work for us and our community. Unlike many other businesses, they have been very open and welcoming to anyone to come down and visit

 and see their site and to keep us informed. Some corporations tend not to do that. They have had an open-door policy with us. They've had an open-door policy with our fire department, our police department, our administration and all of our local businesses.

We do not always get that opportunity to work with corporations that way. So from that perspective, Veolia

has been very proactive in supporting our community and also informing our community of any dangers or any questions that we may need to get resolved. They are, as I said, the most proactive in the region that I'm aware of, as far as making people informed about what it is that they do and how they do it.

So I'm here to support them and their permitting process. I believe they have a safe and efficient operation that makes the region stronger for them being here. So, with that, I think that's all I have to say, and I'd like to thank everybody for letting me have the time to talk this evening.

MS. POPE: Next speaker will be George Paddock.

MR. PADDOCK: I came here initially to observe, but I think I've been called to speak. Again, George Paddock, P-A-D-D-O-C-K. Arguing over the sign size and where it's posted is trivial. If you're coming to inform or to be informed, you have some responsibilities, one of

them is to find your way to the meeting. I have taught classes to the underprivileged and have taught and made the privileged experience the trials and tribulations of the poor. And I have realized we all have responsibilities, and some of them are to make it to important hearings such as this.

Regarding this hearing, whether the economic impact is good or bad is not the bottom line here. Whether we end jobs is not the bottom line. The bottom line is, is it safe? The city and state speakers missed the point, some of them. Jobs are not the point. Yes, it is Page 60

important that Veolia is a good neighbor and that it provides bicycle helmets to children, but the bottom line is, is the plant safe.

I am here as a neighbor, resident and concerned individual for my safety and the safety of all the people in this room and in the community. Unsubstantiated innuendo of what is pumped into air must be dismissed as fear mongering and nothing else. Professional opinion with the consequence of lost licensing by engineers and other competent professionals should carry all the burden of proof for compliance.

The bottom line is the Veolia plant chooses to not only to meet the government's mandated requirements but to far exceed them.

MS. POPE: At this time, I'll repeat this

again; if you want to give public comments, you need to

do so at this time. We will not be going back to the

public comment period. Would you like to make public

comment?

MS. ANDRIA: Yes.

MS. POPE: Come up. State your first name, last name, and spell your last name.

MS. ANDRIA: My name is Kathy Andria,
K-A-T-H-Y, A-N-D-R-I-A. I'm here representing American

Bottom Conservancy and the Sierra Club.

I appreciate what the previous speaker said; that, yes, there is a responsibility on the part of people to get to a public hearing, but they have to know about it

and EPA has not properly informed the citizenry — the people who don't work for Veolia, who don't work for one of the cities, the taxing districts that get money from it. The normal people have not been involved, have not been informed. As Genevieve said earlier, there was a public notice put in two newspapers in the legal section, I assume. I don't remember seeing them, and that was, essentially, all the notice that was sent, other than the letters that they sent to people from some meeting years ago.

We identified -- our two organizations identified

3

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

70

this as an environmental-justice issue. That is because there is an unburdened -- undue burden on low income and minority people. When there is an environmental-justice issue identified, it is incumbent upon US EPA or Illinois EPA to have enhanced outreach. That's where you go the extra step to let people know that there's a public hearing and what it's about, what it's for, what their abilities are to comment, how they can learn about it. do -- I asked them -- I asked Genevieve to -- for a written record to be put in libraries so that people could go and look it over and see the issues and read about it because not everyone in this area has access to a computer. They can't or they can go on line, and like some of the people I know, including myself, it's hard to open up all the PDF files because you don't have enough memory, and so it is a chore.

In response to my request, she did make -- she denied the request for written. I also asked for a list Page 62

of the documents so we could tell what they were, but she
denied that also, but they sent out CDs, and she asked me
where I wanted the CDs to go, and I sent her a list of
libraries with addresses and the area libraries and
suggested villages, city clerks, but there was nothing
that was sent out to tell people, hey, if you go down,
we're having this public hearing. It's about a

hazardous-waste incinerator. If you want to know more about it, go to this place, and this information is available. EPA has the money to do that. They have the obligation to do that. They did not do that.

From the time of the initial public notice when I asked the question previously to -- to make a formal comment, her answers to my questions were off the record and they were not transcribed, but she said that nothing -- that as far as she knows, there was no enhanced outreach, and that they hadn't sent press releases.

Yesterday I sent a media advisory to several of the local newspapers, and there was something in the papers and on a website today. US EPA then sent a media advisory dated today saying the public hearing is tomorrow. I have no idea if anyone was confused about it. I was. I had people calling me, saying, what's going on; we don't understand this.

Again, enhanced outreach is really important and environmental-justice issues, and it's important for the public. I mean, the Environmental Protection Agency is

	070908a
22	supposed to be protecting the public, not the companies,
23	and the company I mean, I think I want jobs here.
24	I come from a union family. I respect that we need to
25 3	treat our hazardous waste. I respect that all of the
J	
	72
1	cities around here have income from all of this, but
2	there are laws that have to be they have to be
3	followed, and there's a fairness issue. In this case,
4	there was none.
5	I'm not I'm a volunteer. I do not get paid to do
6	this. I have been working in this area for many, many
7	years. I took a Title V training from Genevieve, I don't
8	know how many years ago, to learn how better to be a
9	better citizen, how to read a permit. I don't have an
10	engineering background, which Mr. Harris will tell you
11	that I don't know what I'm talking about when I say that
12	they are out of compliance.
13	Well, I did not come up with that on my own. I read
14	the documents. I read where EPA alleges, EPA files
15	issued a finding of violation. Now, I don't think that
16	they do that just arbitrarily
17	MS. POPE: Conclude.
18	MS. ANDRIA: do that arbitrarily without
19	just because they want to. I don't think they issue a
20	notice of violation without having the facts. I believe
21	that the history will show from the filings of the
22	Attorney General's Office and previous filings that they
23	have not been in compliance. If they were not able to be
24	in compliance, there would be in this document a

statement of -- of -- a schedule to show them, bring them Page $64\,$

3

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

73

into compliance. Those are our issues. If they can be in compliance, then -- then we can't do anything about that, if they're in compliance.

But they should never have located a hazardous waste incinerator in the midst of how many hundreds of thousands of people; but, anyway, those are my issues. We will continue to watch this. Yes, you can have lots of people stand up and say what a good guy they are, what a good company they are. How we've looked at them, we have been hired by them to say that they are, that they're doing everything good and there's no problem, but why are the agencies, why is the Attorney General's Office, why is US EPA finding that they're not in compliance. So that -- those are the substance of -- of my comments, and I wouldn't doubt that there are still people walking around trying to find this place, but I think -- I thank you because I have been outspoken on this. I have been treated with respect. No one has done anything, said anything nasty, and I appreciate that because I've been at other hearings where that hasn't been the case, and I have taken a tour of the facility at Doug's invitation and so I do know what it is, and I --I -- you know, I really want this to -- I want all of these issues and all of these companies that are located -- the concentration of chemicals that we have

1	070908a here in Sauget, in Cahokia, in Granite City and no matter
2	which way the wind blows, we're breathing in chemicals
3	and toxins and our children are suffering for that.
4	Thank you.
5	MS. POPE: The next speaker will be Doug
6	Harris.
7	MR. HARRIS: My name is Doug Harris,
8	H-A-R-R-I-S. I am the General Manager of the Veolia
9	facility in Sauget, Illinois, and I've been the General
10	Manager since July of 1999, when Veolia became the owner
11	and operator of the facility.
12	I'd first like to thank the US EPA, along with their
13	colleagues from the IEPA, for the hard work in drafting
14	this Title V operating permit and their efforts to give
15	the people of the Metro East Community an opportunity to
16	voice their views on our facility.
17	In addition, I'd like to thank the many speakers
18	from our community, our customers, members of the
19	education profession, as well as our local government
20	officials, who've appeared here today to express their
21	views and the contribution of our facility. I was
22	especially impressed with the accomplishments,
23	credentials and qualifications of those people, who speak
24	in favor of our facility.
25	A witness has claimed today that we do not deserve a
	75
1	permit because of alleged violations committed many years

3

2

3

'S ago before Veolia began operating the facility. It is

profoundly unfair to disparage our reputation on the

basis of alleged violations. Page 66 4

Another witness today suggested that the toxic release inventory or TRI reports show excessive emissions of harmful chemicals from our incinerator. These accusations are untrue.

As even a brief examination of the 2006 TRI reports for the Metro-East area will show, our incinerator emissions are several orders of magnitude below that of other industries. In fact, Veolia emissions are less than one tenth of one percent of all the TRI emissions from industry in Madison County and St. Clair County. That's one tenth of one percent less than that.

A commenter also questioned our lead and particulate emissions. In fact, the emissions of these two constituents are extremely low. Well below the EPA max

19 standards level.

Another criticized the risk assessment performed at our facility suggesting that it was not based on actual emissions. In fact, as explained by the experts from Franklin Engineering, the risk assessment was performed using worst-case assumptions for the actual emissions from our stacks.

 And, finally, the US EPA public notice has stated the claim that the EPA considers us to be out of compliance with the Clean Air Act. We strongly disagree with that claim and have asked for a meeting and have a meeting scheduled to discuss that with them, hoping to convince them that their initial conclusions are incorrect.

As a matter of fact, in 2000 and 2006, we received a notice very similar to this. We sat and met at an informal meeting with the US EPA and have never received a violation. We are very confident that once we sit and meet with them on the current concern, they will find the same and not issue a violation.

Our business isn't a glamorous business or an exciting one, but we're proud of the fact that so many companies and organizations, which provide, essentially, guides and service to our community and our nation, many of which improve the quality and standard and duration of all our lives.

We heard today from Ms. Isringhausen from Washington University School of Medicine, who spoke about the expertise and professionalism Veolia displays when handling waste generated during the course of research and development at one of our top universities in our country. It is exciting when you're at least a part of

all the good things that they do at that university.

We heard from a Madison County official, who sends out old, out-of-date, unused prescription medicine, which we have handled for that community. I'm sure we've all heard the recent news reports of the harm that can be done with these prescription medicines when they're flushed down the toilets and enter our waterways.

These are just two examples of how we manage hazardous industrial waste safely, properly on the behalf of our customers and our community. Such wastes are an inevitable byproduct of manufacturing the medicines and Page 68

chemicals and many thousands of other products used by Americans daily. Veolia is proud to provide the means by which our customers can assure their wastes are managed in a responsible, safe manner.

We couldn't do this without the high level of dedication and professionalism of all our employees, who work very hard every day to make this a very safe and compliant facility, and I'd like to recognize all of them publically for their efforts and commitment to our continued success.

My family and I have been life-long residents of the Metro-East area, as I suspect many people attending this meeting tonight are. I, therefore, very much appreciate the testimony from the gentleman from Franklin

 Engineering about how the recent risk assessment has demonstrated that our facility at Veolia imposes no threat to human health or the environment, as well as the comments from the experts of Schreiber, Yonley, who were asked to peer review this document for accuracy.

MS. POPE: Conclude.

MR. HARRIS: I want to conclude today by repeating an invitation I've often made. Our facility has an open-door policy to the community. We would be pleased to give you a tour of our plant. You don't need to make an appointment. All you need to do is stop by anytime. I'm there most everyday and would enjoy the opportunity to tour anybody through the facility. Thank you for your time this evening.

15	070908a MS. POPE: That concludes our public comment	
16	period. Now, we have about five minutes for a	
17	question-and-answer session. If anybody has any	
18	questions at this time, you may ask your questions at	
19	this time. Kathy?	
20		
21	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)	
22		
23	MS. POPE: Any more questions? Questions at	
24	all? Well, again, just as a reminder, July 18th is the	
25	deadline for written comments. You can go online, send	
3		
	79	
1	by mail, fax, whatever, but that is the deadline for	
2	written comments. I've enjoyed this. You've been a	
3	wonderful audience, and we wish you good luck on this	
4	issue. Genevieve, anything to say?	
5	MS. DAMICO: No. Thank you very much for	
6	participating today, and I look forward to all the	
7	comments that will be submitted by July 18th.	
8	MS. POPE: Thank you for coming.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		

Page 70

19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 3	
	80
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Sara E. Tipton, Certified Shorthand Reporter and
4	Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
5	true and correct transcript of the Public Hearing held in
6	my presence in the above-captioned cause, and as same
7	appears from my stenographic notes made during the
8	progress of said proceedings.
9	
10	
11	Sara E. Tipton, CSR
12	
13	
14	

	42
	23
p806070	22